

Minutes from the 30/05/2023 CAN meeting.

This was drafted by *Sergio Sarnataro* and read and approved by the CAN

The following people attended the meeting:

- *Olivier Gandrillon (OG)*;
- *Olivier Ali (OA)*;
- *Nicolas Goudemand (NG)*;
- *Laurent Gilquin*;
- *Sergio Sarnataro (SS)*;
- *Estelle Devèvre (ED)*;
- *Mourad Bekhouche (MB)*;
- *Carine Rey (CR)*;
- *Lucie Etienne (LE)*.

Excused:

- *Jacques Brocard*
- *Jocelyn Turpin*

OG asks SS to write the minutes.

Topic 1: “Data Storage” presentation

- OG asks who will give the presentation about the Data Storage, of which the draft is on the shared framapad. Also, it has to be established when this presentation will take place.
- OA asks if the presentation will be given only to the heads of the labs, but OG disagrees since the info does not always flow smoothly from group leaders to other people.
- NG says that people at IGFL already had a presentation on the same topic, and then it could be redundant for them.
- LE thinks that 1 hour would be too much for the presentation, and 30 minutes would be OK instead. NG proposes to book anyway a room for 1 hour. The idea is to have enough time in case someone has questions at the end of the presentation. Everybody agrees on that.
- OG thinks that all CAN members should be present at the presentation. LE and NG think that more than 1 person should give the presentation. Since OG and Laurent Modolo (LM, absent) worked on the draft of the presentation, OG will speak with LM because one of the options is that OG and LM will be the speakers.
- NG thinks that a good idea would be inviting the people responsible for the various storages.
- A good moment for giving the presentation would be early October.
- The presentation should be advertised at SFR scale. Also, LE thinks that it should be

advertised through platforms (FACS, microscopy,...), in order to reach the people directly interested to store data.

- MB thinks that the presentation should be driven by a concrete problem (e.g. how to manage the life cycle of OMICS data), to make it catchy.
- ED asks if registration will be required, and OG says that things should be as simple as possible, so no registration is required.
- LE says that data sharing + transfer is an issue for multi-site institutes. OG says that this can be added to the framapad in order to be treated during the presentation.
- NG says we could add questions to the framapad, to interact with the audience at the end. Something like a “current challenges” section.
- OG concludes that he will try to do a draft of the presentation, with the things that are in the framapad.

Topic 2: UAR Data Analysis 2024

- UAR asked to OG if CAN is interested in participating to Data Analysis days in 2024. Everybody agreed that it is a good idea.

Topic 3: Future of CAN

- OG will stop being the head of the CAN in the next months (most likely at the end of 2023). It is needed to discuss the possible solutions for the future.
- Everybody agrees on the fact that CAN should not be shut down.
- The exact role of the CAN head was discussed to identify the exact missions.
- Given this information, a possible solution is to have a rotating head of the CAN. LE thinks that every year rotation would be good, but MB thinks that 1 year is a too short period. The final proposal is to rotate every 2 years.

Topic 4: training for the next years

- CR asks who could organize the training for next year. LM was in charge last year. Booking the rooms (amongst other tasks at this position) is a huge job, and we asked the SFR for help with that. The positive answer was that : “Le secretariat de l’UAR, on doit pouvoir vous aider: "Secretariat.UMS3444" <secretariat.ums3444@inserm.fr>

Next CAN meeting is supposed to be in September/October.